[Reprinted from The Journal of the American Oil Chemists' Society, June, 1956 Issue, Vol. XXXIII, No. 6. pp. 290-291]

Synthetic Detergents from Animal Fats. VII. Detergent Combinations 1

A. J. STIRTON, E. W. MAURER, and J. K. WEIL, Eastern Regional Research Laboratory, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania²

HE SULFATED HYDROGENATED TALLOW ALCOHOLS, RCH₂OSO₃Na, are known as effective detergents and surface-active agents with perhaps some limitations on their use because they are not very soluble in water at room temperature (5, 6). Nor are the disodium salts of a-sulfonated hydrogenated tallow acids, RCH(SO₃Na)CO₂Na, readily soluble. However mixtures of these two tallow-based detergents with phosphate builders, at 20% active ingredient content, form clear 0.25% solutions in hard water at room temperature. It was thus of interest to explore their detergent possibilities. The readily soluble sodium alkylbenzenesulfonate (average molecular weight corresponding to C₁₂H₂₅C₆H₄-SO₂Na) was also included as a component in the detergent combinations.

Thus four materials were selected for a laboratory study of detergent combinations: sodium salts of sulfated hydrogenated tallow alcohols (X); disodium salts of a-sulfonated hydrogenated tallow acids (Y); sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate (Z); and an

inorganic building composition (B).

Component X was prepared to simulate hydrogenated sulfated tallow alcohols. It is an intimate mixture of the following pure sodium alkyl sulfates: 6.6% sodium tetradecyl sulfate, 27.8% sodium hexadecyl sulfate, and 65.6% sodium octadecyl sulfate (8). These proportions assume tallow to have a fatty acid composition of 6.3% myristic, 27.4% palmitic, 14.1% stearic, 49.5% oleic, and 2.4% linoleic.

Similarly the a-sulfonated hydrogenated tallow acid component, Y, is an intimate mixture of the following pure disodium salts: 6.7% disodium a-sulfomyristate, 27.9% disodium a-sulfopalmitate, and 65.4% diso-

dium a-sulfostearate (8).

The sodium alkylbenzenesulfonate component, Z, of average molecular weight corresponding to C12-H₂₅C₆H₄SO₃Na, was prepared by sulfonation of an aromatic hydrocarbon 3 with the vapor of sulfur trioxide (3, 4). The product was a hygroscopic, cream-colored solid, with absorption maxima at 224.0, 255.0, 261.5, and 267.5 m μ . Analyses: calculated for C₁₈H₂₉NaO₃S, 6.60% Na, 9.20%S; found 6.70% Na, 9.14%S.

The builder, B, contained 55% Na₅P₃O₁₀, 24% Na₂SO₄, 10% Na₄P₂O₇, 10% sodium metasilicate, and 1% carboxymethylcellulose (5, 9).

Detergency

The detergency of the combinations was measured, using the Terg-O-Tometer and three different kinds of standard soiled cotton, I, II, and III.4 Detergency

¹Presented at the meeting of the American Oil Chemists' Society, Houston, Tex., April 1956.
²A laboratory of the Eastern Utilization Research Branch, Agricultural Research Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture.
³Neolene 400. Reference to trade name does not constitute recommendation by the U. S. Department of Agriculture of a particular product over similar products not mentioned.
⁴G.D.C. No. 26 (2), A.C.H. No. 114, and P.S.C., respectively. Reference to trade name abbreviations does not constitute recommendation by the U. S. Department of Agriculture over similar test cloths not mentioned.

was measured as the increase in reflectance, Δ R, after washing in soft (90 p.p.m.) and hard (300 p.p.m.) water. The results are set forth in Table I. The values for sodium dodecyl sulfate are given in the table for purposes of comparison. The standard soiled cloths present different soil-removal problems, and a detergent mixture effective with one cloth is not necessarily as effective with the others. The sulfated hydrogenated tallow alcohol (X) was the most

TABLE I

Detergency of Combinations
Terg-O-Tometer, 20 min., at 60°C., 110 cycles/min., 10 swatches/l.;
3 kinds of standard soiled cotton; soft water (90 p.p.m.) and hard water (300 p.p.m.).

Component, % *				Detergency, Δ R					
				Cloth I		Cloth II		Cloth III	
X	Y	Z	В		Hard water		Hard water		Hard water
0.05 0.25 0.01 0.01	0.25	0.25	0.2 0.2 0.2	9.3 33.8 33.5 31.3 21.2 29.3 23.2	9.6 4.1 31.0 30.1 25.2 27.5 22.3	33.7 36.8 37.7	27.1 7.3 36.7	8.7 12.1 41.7 38.9 34.5 23.8 34.0	$\frac{21.1}{32.6}$
0.01 0.025 0.025 0.05	0.01 0.025 0.025 0.05	0.03 0.025 0.025 0.05	0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	27.5 28.6 24.2 17.6 26.4 13.2 13.9	26.7 27.7 26.1 16.0 27.0 14.7 17.7	37.3 37.4 37.5 38.4 33.6 37.8	37.6 35.3 37.4 35.1 27.8 36.6	34.3 32.8 38.1 20.6 38.2 11.6 28.5	31.2 27.7 35.3 13.5 35.1 9.3 21.3
0.25% Sodium dodecyl sulfate				22.3	19.9		22.9	36.8	34.6

* X = Sulfated hydrogenated tallow alcohols.
Y = Disodium salts of α-sulfonated hydrogenated tallow acids.
Z = Sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate.
B = Builder: 55% Na₅P₂O₁₀, 24% Na₂SO₄, 10% Na₄P₂O₇, 10% sodium metasilicate, 1% CMC.

important component of detergent combinations. Mixtures not containing X were generally inferior detergents. A builder was required in hard water except with 0.25% solutions of X, Y, or Z.

Cloth I. With cloth I, in these experiments, differences of 2.3 in Δ R in soft water and 1.7 in hard water were significant with at least 95% probability.

In soft water unbuilt 0.05%X was an excellent detergent with cloth I, superior to 0.25%Y or 0.25%Z. Built XY and XYZ combinations were more effective than similar XZ combinations. There is an apparent advantage in the detergency of 0.01%X + 0.04%Y + 0.2%B over that of built 0.05%X in soft water. Built detergents not containing X were inferior in soft or hard water.

Built detergents containing X had about the same detergency in hard water except for 0.01%X + 0.04%Z + 0.2%B which was less efficient.

Cloth II. With cloth II in these experiments, in soft or hard water, a difference of 1.1 in Δ R was significant with at least 95% probability.

The builder alone was able to remove considerable soil from this cloth, particularly in soft water. In soft water unbuilt 0.05%X was a good detergent, somewhat improved by the presence of builder. All

built detergents were good except for built 0.05%Y, which had the same detergency as the builder alone.

Unbuilt 0.05%X was a very poor detergent in hard water, greatly improved by the builder. Built XY and YZ combinations were good detergents, somewhat better in hard water than an XZ combination and built 0.05% X. Built 0.05% Z was better than built 0.05% Y in either type of water and better than built 0.05%X in hard water.

Cloth III. For cloth III a difference in Δ R of 1.3 and 1.0 was significant with at least 95% probability in soft and hard water, respectively, for these experiments.

The builder was required for adequate detergency in soft or hard water for 0.05%X but not for 0.25% solutions of X, Y, or Z. In soft or hard water built 0.05% X and built mixtures containing X and Z, or X, Y, and Z were the best detergents. Built XY and YZ combinations were inferior to corresponding XZ combinations.

Foaming Properties

The foaming properties of the built detergents in hard water of 300 p.p.m. at 60°C. are shown in Table II.

Compositions containing Z had somewhat better foaming properties. Built 0.05%Y had the lowest foam height and the least stable foam.

Summary

Laboratory measurements of detergency were made on mixtures of sodium salts of sulfated hydrogenated tallow alcohol (X), disodium salts of a-sulfonated hydrogenated tallow acids (Y), sodium dodecylbenzenesulfonate (Z), and a builder (B) using three different kinds of standard soiled cotton. Component X was the most important, and mixtures not containing X were generally inferior detergents. Built XY

TABLE II Foam Height of Built Detergents in Hard Water 300 p.p.m., 60°

	Compon	Foam height, mm. (7)			
x	Y	z	В	Immedi- ate	After 5 minutes
0.01	0.04		0.2	200	200
0.01		0.04	0.2	225	220
0.01	0.01	0.03	0.2	225	200
0.025	0.025		0.2	190	180
0.025		0.025	0.2	220	220
	0.025	0.025	0.2	225	220
0.05	1,34,314		0.2	205	205
1 2 2 2 2 1	0.05		$0.\overline{2}$	165	10
100	110	0.05	0.2	235	230

X = Sulfated hydrogenated tallow alcohols.

The Daniel Notice and the second of the seco

mixtures were better than XZ mixtures with one kind of soiled cotton (cloth I). Built XZ mixtures were better than XY mixtures with another soiled cotton (cloth III)

The results, based on laboratory measurements of detergency using standard soiled cotton, are suggestive rather than conclusive. Partial substitution of X by Y or Z, or by both Y and Z, may be possible without loss in detergency.

REFERENCES

- REFERENCES

 1. Banks, A., and Hilditch, T. P., Biochem, J., 25, 1168-82 (1931).
 2. Draves, C. Z., and Sherburne, O. L., Am. Dyestuff Reptr., 39, P771-2 (1950).
 3. Gerhart, K. R., and Popovac, D. O., J. Am. Oil Chemists' Soc., 31, 200-3 (1954).
 4. Gilbert, E. E., Veldhuis, B., Carlson, E. J., and Giolito, S. L., Ind. Eng. Chem., 45, 2065-72 (1953).
 5. Hill, E. F., Wilson, G. R., and Steinle, E. C. Jr., Ind. Eng. Chem., 46, 1917-21 (1954).
 6. Osipow, L., Marra, D., Snell, C. T., and Snell, F. D., Ind. Eng. Chem., 47, 492-6 (1955).
 7. Ross, J., and Miles, G. D., Oil and Soap, 18, 99-102 (1941).
 8. Stirton, A. J., Weil, J. K., Stawitzke, Anna A., and James, S., J. Am. Oil Chemists' Soc., 29, 198-201 (1952).
 9. Weil, J. K., Bistline, R. G. Jr., and Stirton, A. J., J. Am. Oil Chemists' Soc., 32, 370-2 (1955).

[Received March 12, 1956]